

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/607,217	06/27/2003	Takashi Imanishi	Q76309	5687	
65565 SUGHRUE-26	7590 02/21/2007 5550		EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW			JOYCE, WILLIAM C		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037-3213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3682		
				•	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	LY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	02/21/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applica	ion No	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary							
		10/607,		IMANISHI ET AL.			
		Examin	er	Art Unit			
		William (•	3682			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on ti	ne cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	idress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF T 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ation. ry period will apply and by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATION EVENT, however, may a reply be to will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from polication to become ABANDON	DN. imely filed the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 28 November	2006				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 November 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
′=	,						
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims		,,				
·	·						
	Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-13 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-5 and 7-13</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· -	Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
		i and/or election	requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Oate			

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed November 28, 2006 for the above identified patent application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over at least one of Yamamoto (USP 6,203,467) or Imanishi (USP 6,746,364) in view of Bauer et al. (USP 5,382,099).

Yamamoto et al. illustrates a toroidal continuously variable transmission (CVT), comprising: a pair of input and output disks (17-20) each including a first traction surface; power rollers (70-71) each including a second traction surface and respectively interposed between the first traction surfaces of the input and output disks; an oil passage (for example, 105,107,113) for guiding lubricating oil to the traction surfaces of the power rollers, input disk and output disk. Referring to Figure 6, Yamamoto et al. illustrates the displacement shafts (147) having an oil passage (not referenced) and oil holes (not referenced) for supplying lubricant to the power roller bearings (71A). Applicant's attention is drawn to Figure 6 of Imanishi which clearly

Art Unit: 3682

discloses the use of oil passages in a CVT displacement shaft for providing oil to bearings.

Imanishi discloses a CVT having displacement shafts (28) with an oil passage (73) and oil holes (73a,73b) for supplying lubricant to the power roller bearings (31,32).

Neither Yamamoto et al. nor Imanishi do not teach a filter disposed on the exit side of the displacement shaft oil passage, but it was known in the gearing art to provide an in-line oil filter at an exit side of an oil passage for preventing contaminates from reaching a bearing.

The prior art to Bauer et al. teaches a bearing oil filter arrangement comprising: an oil passage (23) for supplying oil to a bearing (2), a filter (30) disposed in an exit side of the oil passage.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the oil passage arrangement of either one of Yamamoto et al. or Imanishi with an in-line oil filter at the exit side thereof, as taught by Bauer et al., motivation being to prevent contaminates from entering the bearing arrangement.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed November 28, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The argument that Yamamoto does not teach an oil passage in the displacement shaft is not persuasive. Referring to Figure 6, Yamamoto clearly shows the use of oil passages in the displacement shaft. Further, Imanishi clearly

Art Unit: 3682

discloses the use of the claimed oil passages (see Figs. 1 and 6). Accordingly, claim 1 stands rejected.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Joyce whose telephone number is (571) 272-7107. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,217

Art Unit: 3682

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 5

William C. Joyce 2/18/07